EXHIBIT 73

```
1
              UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
            FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
2
                    EASTERN DIVISION
3
                                     MDL No. 2804
    IN RE: NATIONAL
    PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
    LITIGATION,
                                     Case No.
                                     1:17-MD-2804
5
    THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO
                                    Hon. Dan A.
    ALL CASES
                                     Polster
6
7
8
9
                Tuesday, January 22, 2019
10
       HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO FURTHER
11
                 CONFIDENTIALITY REVIEW
12
13
14
           Videotaped 30(b)(6) Deposition of
15
     Walmart, through the testimony of Susanne
16
     Hiland, held at 4206 South J.B. Hunt Drive,
     Rogers, Arkansas, commencing at 8:22 a.m., on
     the above date, before Debra A. Dibble,
17
     Certified Court Reporter, Registered
18
     Diplomate Reporter, Certified Realtime
     Captioner, Certified Realtime Reporter and
19
     Notary Public.
20
21
2.2
23
                GOLKOW LITIGATION SERVICES
             877.370.3377 ph | fax 917.591.5672
                     deps@golkow.com
24
25
```



Page 346 Page 348 ¹ have -- I have a few questions on the 2 MR. BOWER: Why don't we take a decision. Feel free to review the one in the binder or the one I've given you. They 3 quick break. should be identical. 4 MS. TABACCHI: Sure. 5 5 MR. BOWER: We can be quick, if Α. I believe they're identical. 6 you want. I don't know how long you O. Did you review this decision in 7 need. preparation for your testimony today? 8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: 3:00 p.m. Yes. A. 9 We are off the video record. 10 (Recess taken, 3:00 p.m. to 11 3:22 p.m.) 12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: 3:22. We 13 are on the video record. 14 (BY MR. BOWER) We are back on 15 the record. Let me hand you what is marked as Exhibit 10, which is a copy of the Masters 17 decision. Take a moment to review it, but I 17 (BY MR. BOWER) So if you just assume you're familiar with that decision; turn -- look at the first page of the 19 correct? Masters, right at the beginning there under 20 20 the opinion -- are you with me there? MS. TABACCHI: Just have her 21 21 The Court notes that -- about look at it, please. 22 (Whereupon, Deposition Exhibit two sentences down, that "Over the past two Walmart 10, Masters decision, was 23 decades, DEA has been battling a steep 24 marked for identification.) increase in prescription opioid abuse, a Page 347 Page 349 1 MS. TABACCHI: I did review the problem DEA views as epidemic." Do you see that? 2 decision in preparation. 3 (BY MR. BOWER) Do you have a 3 MS. TABACCHI: I'm sorry, Zach. copy of the decision in the binder you 4 I don't see where you are. 4 brought with you today? 5 MR. BOWER: Sorry. Just on the 6 A. Yes. 6 first page, bottom right-hand corner, 7 7 about the middle of that paragraph, Does the copy that you have in the binder have a Bates number on it? 8 right under "Opinion." 9 It does not. It's one that I 9 MS. TABACCHI: The Court Α. 10 printed. 10 notes -- oh, "The Court notes." Those So I know there was 11 11 are your words. O. 12 representation made that --12 MR. BOWER: Those are my words. MS. TABACCHI: That would be 13 13 MS. TABACCHI: I'm trying to 14 the one exception, something from a 14 find the Court notes. 15 15 public record. MR. BOWER: Sorry about that. 16 16 MR. BOWER: No, that's fine. MS. TABACCHI: Can you do that 17 17 MS. TABACCHI: I thought of again? 18 that when she mentioned she had it. 18 MR. BOWER: Sure. 19 Otherwise, I'm not aware of anything 19 Q. (BY MR. BOWER) The language in 20 else. It's either produced or in the the opinion reads, "Over the past two 21 public record. There was nothing decades, DEA has been battling a steep 22 increase in prescription opioid abuse, a else. 23 problem that DEA views as an epidemic." MR. BOWER: Thank you for that. 24 (BY MR. BOWER) So I want to 24 Do you see that?



